ENERGY USE ANALYSIS OF WHEAT PRODUCTION IN INDIA

ROAF AHMAD PARRAY*, INDRA MANI, ADARSH KUMAR, TAPAN KUMAR KHURA AND SATISH DEVARAM LANDE

Division of Agricultural Engineering,

Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi - 110 012, INDIA

e-mail: rouf.engg@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Wheat production is a direct function of high yielding varieties, chemicals, fertilizers, mechanization and other energy inputs. Wheat is produced using energy sources ranging from human and animal power to power of heavy machinery. Energy input and yield vary with each system, influencing the ultimate output-input ratio. The production of wheat in the country has increased significantly from 75.81 million tons in 2006-07 to all-time historic production of 95.91 million tons in 2013-14. The productivity of wheat which was 2602 kg/ hectare in 2004-05 has increased to 3075 kg/hectare in 2013-14 (DES-GOI, 2014). The major increase in the productivity of wheat has been observed in the states of Haryana, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh. Utttar Pradesh alone contributes around 31.53% of total wheat production of country. The relation between agriculture and energy is very close. Agriculture itself is an energy user and energy supplier in the form of bio-energy (Alam et al., 2005). Sustainable development focuses on three vital dimensions of sustainability viz., Economic, Environmental and Social. Sustainable economic development is closely linked with the energy availability (Rajakumar and Nagesh, 2011). Energy use in agriculture has developed in response to increasing populations, limited supply of arable land and desire for an increasing standard of living. In all societies, these factors have encouraged an increase in energy inputs to maximize yields, minimize labor-intensive practices, or both (Esengun et al., 2007a). The increased use of inputs such as fertilizer, irrigation water, diesel, plant protection chemicals, electricity etc. demands more energy in the form of human, animal and machinery. The rising price of energy, particularly of crude oil, has significant implications for profitability of agriculture. Increasing use of energy-based inputs and rising oil prices are likely to enhance the cost of production in agriculture. Therefore, there is a need to assess energy trends in the Indian agriculture. (Jha et al., 2012). Effective energy use in agriculture is one of the conditions for sustainable agricultural production, since it provides financial savings, fossil resources preservation and air pollution Reduction (Uhlin ,1998). shahan et al. (2008) studied the energy use and economic analysis of wheat production in Iran and reported total energy input 47.08 GJha-1. Aghaalkhani et al. (2013) reported that averagely diesel fuel had the highest share within the total energy inputs, followed by chemical fertilizer in rice production in Iran. However in India ,no study related to energy use pattern of wheat and/or rice has been made.

The aim of this study was to determine the energy input and output used in wheat production in Aligarh division of Uttar Pradesh, India. It also identifies operations where energy savings could be realized by changing applied practices in order to increase the energy ratio and propose improvements to reduce energy consumption for wheat production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in 270 wheat producers in Aligarh division of Uttar

ABSTRACT

The energy use pattern in wheat production showed an intensive use of energy with total energy input for wheat production being 44.31 Giga Joules per hectare(GJha⁻¹) .About 29.58% of total energy is contributed by diesel fuel, 20.31% from chemical fertilizers and 16.13% by machinery. About 69.74% of the total energy inputs used in wheat production was indirect (seeds, fertilizers, manure, chemicals, machinery, water) and 30.26% was direct (human labor, diesel). Mean grain wheat yield was about 3442.6 kgha⁻¹ obtained under normal conditions on irrigated farming, and taking into account the energy value of the seed, the net energy and energy productivity value was estimated to be 50.12 GJha-1 and 0.077 kg MJ⁻¹, respectively. The ratio of energy outputs to energy inputs was found to be 2.13. The energy values reveal that there is further scope of improving energy use efficiency of wheat production by judicious use of various input energy options.

KEY WORDS

Energy Productivity Energy Ratio Net Energy

Received: 12.06.2015 Revised: 26.09.2015 Accepted: 27.10.2015

*Corresponding author

Pradesh, India. Fourty eight villages were chosen to represent the whole study area. The province is located in the northern part of India, within 27°30' and 37°36' north latitude and 78° 06' and 79°40' east longitude. The total area of the study division is 795484 ha, and the net sown area is 638364 ha, with a share of 80.24%. Data were collected from the growers by using a face-to-face questionnaire performed in October-December, 2014. The collected data belonged to the production period of 2013-2014. The Secondary data for the present study was also obtained from project documents and line departments. Farmers were randomly chosen from the villages in the area of study. The size of each sample was determined using Eq. (1) derived from Neyman technique (Yamane, 1967).

$$n = \frac{\sum N_h \sigma_h}{N^2 D^2 + N_h \sigma_h^2} \qquad (1)$$

where n is the required sample size; N is the number of holdings in target population; N_h is the number of the population in the h stratification; σ_h is the standard deviation in the h stratification, σ_h^2 is the variance of h stratification; $D^2 = d^2/z^2$; d is the precision $(\overline{x} - \overline{x})$; z is the reliability coefficient (1.96 which represents the 95% reliability); The permissible error in the sample size was defined to be 5% for 95% confidence, and sample size was calculated as 270 farms.

For the growth and development, energy demand in agriculture can be divided into direct and indirect, renewable, and non-renewable energies (Alam et al., 2005). The energetic efficiency of the agricultural system has been evaluated by the energy ratio between output and input. Human labor, machinery, diesel oil, fertilizer, pesticides and seed amounts and output yield values of wheat crops have been used to estimate the energy ratio. Energy equivalents shown in Table 1 were used for estimation. The sources of mechanical energy used on the selected farms included tractors, machinery and diesel oil. The mechanical energy was computed on the basis of total fuel consumption (L ha-1) in different operations. Therefore, the energy consumed was calculated using conversion factors (1L diesel = 56.31 MJ) and expressed in MJ ha⁻¹ (Tsatsarelis, 1991). Basic information on energy inputs and wheat yields were entered into Excel spreadsheets. Based on the energy equivalents of the inputs and output (Table 1), the energy ratio (energy use efficiency), energy productivity and the specific energy were calculated (Sartori et al., 2005; Demircan et al., 2006; Shahan et al., 2008)

Energy use efficiency =
$$\frac{\text{Energy Output (MJ ha}^{-1})}{\text{Energy Input (MJ ha}^{-1})}$$
....(2

Energy productivity(kg/MJ) =
$$\frac{Grain \ output \ (kg \ ha^{-1})}{Energy \ Input \ (MJ \ ha^{-1})}$$
(3)

Specific energy(MJ/kg) =
$$\frac{\text{Energy Input (MJ ha}^{-1})}{\text{Grain output (kg ha}^{-1})}$$
(4)

Indirect energy included energy embodied in seeds, fertilizers, manure, chemicals, machinery while direct energy covered human labor and diesel used in the wheat production. Non-renewable energy includes diesel, chemical, fertilizers and machinery, and renewable energy consists of human labor, seeds and manure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-economic structures of farms

Average farm size was 1.8 ha and wheat production occupied 68.53% of total farm lands. The other crops grown besides wheat were paddy, potato, sugar etc.100% of total land in each farm was irrigated. The agronomic practices during the growing process of wheat along with the periods relevant to these preparations are shown in Table 2.

Land preparation and soil tillage were mostly accomplished by 35-45 hp tractor along with using disc harrows, cultivator and rotavator. Land preparation was followed by sowing with machine having a capacity of 0.4 ha/h. Fertilizer is mainly applied through broadcasting with an initial dose applied along with seed using seed cum fertilizer drill. Irrigation water is applied five times during the whole crop growing period and 5 hp diesel pumps are used for this purpose. Spraying is done with the use of hand operated knap sack sprayer. Harvesting

Table 1: Energy equivalent of inputs and outputs in agricultural production

Inputs	Unit	Energy equivalent(MJ/Unit)	Reference
1.Labour	h	1.96	(Yilmaz et al., 2005; Singh and Mittal,1992)
2.Machinery	h	62.7	(Erdal et al., 2007a; Singh et al., 2002; Singh,2002)
3.Tractor	h	68.40	(Singh and Mittal,1992)
4.Diesel	L	56.31	(Erdal et al., 2007b; Singh et al., 2002)
5.Fertilizers			
(a)Nitrogen	kg	60.6	(Shahan et al., 2008; Esengun et al., 2007)
(b)Phosphorus	kg	11.1	(Shahan et al., 2008; Esengun et al., 2007)
(c)Potassium	kg	6.7	(Shahan et al., 2008; Esengun et al., 2007)
(d)Zinc	kg	8.40	(Pimentel, 1980; Argiro et al., 2006)
6.Manure	kg	0.3	(Shahan et al., 2008; Demircan et al., 2006)
7.chemicals	kg	20.9	(Canakci et al., 2005; Mandal et al., 2002; Singh, 2002)
8.water	m ³	1.02	(Shahan et al., 2008; Acaroglu and Aksoy, 2005)
9.seeds	kg	14.7	(Singh and Mittal, 1992; Ozkan et al., 2004)
B.Outputs	-		
1.Grain	kg	14.7	(Singh and Mittal,1992; Ozkan et al., 2004)
2.straw	Kg	12.5	(Singh and Mittal, 1992; Ozkan et al., 2004)

Table 2: Management practices for the wheat

Operation	Period	Method
Land preparation	1 st No-15 Nov	Harrow,cultivator,rotavator
Sowing	15 Nov-10 Dec	Wheat seed drill
Fertilizer application	15 Nov-15 Feb	Broadcasting & seed cum fertilizer drill(basal)
Irrigation	Nov-April	Diesel powered pump-set
Average number of irrigation	5 times	
Spraying period	15 Dec-15 Feb.	Knapsack sprayer
Harvesting	1 st April-30 th April	Manual Labour /combine Harvester
Threshing	1 st April-30 th April	Power operated wheat thresher

Table 3: Amount of different inputs and outputs in wheat production

Inputs	Quantity per unit area
1.Labour(h/ha)	156.23
Land preparation	18.8
Sowing	5.13
Irrigation	16.29
Fertilizer application	18.4
Spraying	6.66
Harvesting	58
Threshing	18.75
Transporting	14.2
2.Machinery(h/ha)	114.02
Land preparation	4.8
Sowing	2.5
Irrigation	81.49
Fertilizer application	2.52
Spraying	6.66
Harvesting	9.23
Threshing	3.12
Transporting	3.7
3.Tractor(h/ha)	16.64
4.Diesel(L/ha)	232.87
Land preparation	65.5
Sowing	10.2
Irrigation	122.23
Fertilizer application	10.04
Spraying	-
Harvesting	-
Threshing	8.2
Transporting	7.4
5.Fertilizers(kg/ha)	253.89
Nitrogen	128.6
Phosphorus(P ₂ O ₅)	79.43
Potassium(K ₂ 0)	33.86
Zinc	12.0
6.Manure(kg/ha)	25013.2
7.chemicals(kg/ha)	2.37
8.water(m³/ha)	4116
9.seeds(kg/ha)	127.3
B.Outputs	
1.Grain(kg/ha)	3442.6
2.straw(kg/ha)	3508.39

is done mostly manually with the use of sickle (except few large farms using combine), followed by threshing by using power operated thresher having a capacity of 2 ton/h.

The inputs and output used in wheat production in the area of survey can be seen in Table 3. Their energy equivalents with output energy rates and their equivalents are illustrated in Table 4. The results revealed that 156.23 h of human power and 114.02 h of machine power was required per hectare of wheat production in the study area. The amount of fertilizers used

for wheat growing was 253.89 kg ha⁻¹ that constitutes nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P_2O_5), potassium (K_2O) and Zinc (Zn) as 50.65%, 31.28%, 13.37% and 4.7%, respectively.

The energy input and output, yield, energy use efficiency, specific energy, energy productivity and net energy of wheat production in the Aligarh Division of Uttar Pradesh are shown in Table 5. Energy use efficiency (energy ratio) was calculated as 2.13. In Turkey, Canakci et al.(2005) reported wheat output/ input ratio as 2.8; while for Iran, Shahan et al. (2008) reported a ratio of 1.97. In this study, the average energy productivity of farms was 0.077. This means that 0.077 grain output was obtained per unit of energy input. Calculation of energy productivity rate is well documented in the literatures such as for tomato (1.0) (Esengun et al., 2007), cotton (0.06) (Yilmaz et al., 2005), sugar beet (1.53) (Erdal et al., 2007). The specific energy and net energy of wheat production were 12.87 MJ kg⁻¹ and 50129.4 MJ ha⁻¹, respectively. Canakci et al. (2005) reported specific energy for field crops and vegetable production in Turkey, as 5.24 for wheat, 11.24 for cotton. 3.88 for maize, 16.21 for sesame, 1.14 for tomato, 0.98 for melon and 0.97 for water- melon.

Total energy used in various farm operations during wheat production was 44331.7 MJha⁻¹. Diesel energy consumes 29.58% of total energy inputs followed by Chemical fertilizer 20.31% during production period. Diesel energy was mainly consumed for land preparation, sowing, irrigation and transportation. The solar powered groundwater pumping coupled with pressurised irrigation systems could be an appropriate alternative approach for minimizing diesel consumption.(Rehman & Bhatt,2014).

Average annual grain yield of farms investigated was 3442.6 kg ha⁻¹ and calculated total energy output was 94461.1MJ ha⁻¹. It was observed that chemicals were the least demanding energy input for wheat production with 49.27 MJ ha⁻¹ (only 0.11% of the total sequestered energy), followed by human labor by 306.21 MJ ha⁻¹(0.69%) as shown in Table 4. As comparison to Iran, Shahan et al.(2008) reported that yield, total energy input and output, in wheat farming were 4514.8 kg ha⁻¹, 47078.5 and 92785.56 MJ ha⁻¹, respectively. In another study in Europe, Kuesters & Lammel (1999) found that total energy input in wheat production were between 7.5 and 17.5 GJ ha⁻¹.

The distribution of total energy input as direct, indirect, renewable and non-renewable forms are shown in Table 6. The total energy input could be classified as direct energy (30.26%), indirect energy (69.74%) and renewable energy (31.30%) and non-renewable energy (68.70%).

Table 4: Amount and percentage of different inputs and output energy equivalent in wheat production

Inputs	Quantity per unit area	Total energy Equivalent(MJ/ha)	%age of total energy input
1.Labour(h/ha)	156.23	306.21	0.69
2.Machinery(h/ha)	114.02	7149.05	16.13
3.Tractor	16.64	1138.18	2.57
4.Diesel(L/ha)	232.87	13112.91	29.58
5.Fertilizers(kg/ha)			
Nitrogen	128.6	7793.16	17.58
Phosphorus(P ₂ O ₅)	79.43	881.67	1.99
Potassium(K ₂ O)	33.86	226.86	0.51
Zinc	12.0	100.8	0.23
6.Manure(kg/ha)	25013.2	7503.96	16.93
7.chemicals(kg/ha)	2.37	49.27	0.11
8.water(m³/ha)	4116	4198.32	9.47
9.seeds(kg/ha)	127.3	1871.31	4.22
Total Input Energy(MJ/ha)		44331.7	
B.Outputs			
1.Grain(kg/ha)	3442.6	50606.22	
2.straw(kg/ha)	3508.39	43854.88	
Total Output Energy(MJ/ha)		94461.1	

Table 5: Various Energy performance parameters in wheat production

Parameter	Amount	
Total Input Energy(MJ/ha)	44331.7	
Total Output Energy(MJ/ha)	94461.1	
Energy ratio	2.13	
Grain(kg/ha)	3442.6	
Specific energy(MJ/kg)	12.87	
Energy Productivity(kg/MJ)	0.077	
Net energy(MJ/ha)	50129.4	

Table 6: Total energy input in the form of direct, indirect, renewable and nonrenewable for wheat production (MJ ha^{-1})

Form of Energy	Amount(MJ/ha)	% a
Direct Energy ^b	13419.12	30.26
Indirect Energy ^c	30912.58	69.74
Renewable Energy ^d	13879.8	31.30
Non-Renewable ^e	30451.9	68.70
Total Energy Input	44331.7	

"Indicates percentage of total energy input; "Includes human labor, diesel; "Includes seeds, fertilizers, manure, water, chemicals, machinery; "Includes human labor, seeds, manure, water, "Includes diesel, chemical, fertilizers, machinery

REFERENCES

Acaroglu, M. and Aksoy, A. S. 2005. The cultivation and energy balance of Miscanthus giganteus production in Turkey. *Biomass Bioenergy.* **29:** 42-48.

Agha Alikhani, M., Kazemi-Poshtmasari, H. and Habibzadeh, F. 2013. Energy use pattern in rice production: A case study from Mazandaran province, Iran. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 69: 157-162.

Alam, M. S. Alam, M. R. and Islam, K. K. 2005. Energy Flow in Agriculture: Bangladesh. American *J. Environmental Sciences.* 1(3): 213-220.

Argiro, V. Strapatsa, A. George, D. Nanos, A. Constantinos, A. 2006. Energy flow for integrated apple production in Greece. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment.* **116**: 176-180.

Canakci, M. Topakci, M. Akinci, I. and Ozmerzi, A. 2005. Energy use pattern of some field crops and vegetable production: case study for Antalya region, Turkey. *Energy Convers Manage*. **46**: 655-66.

Demircan, V. Ekinci, K. Keener, H. M. Akbolat, D. and Ekinci, C.

2006. Energy and economic analysis of sweet cherry production in Turkey: A case study from Isparta province. *Energy Convers Manage* **47:** 1761-1769.

DES-GOI 2014. 4^{th} advance estimates from the Directorate of Economics and Statistics (DES), Government of India.

Erdal, G., Esengun, K., Erdal, H. and Gunduz, O. 2007. Energy use and economical analysis of sugar beet production in Tokat province of Turkey. *Energy* **32:** 35-41.

Esengun, K., Erdal, G., Gunduz, O. and Erdal, H. 2007a. An economic analysis and energy use in stake-tomato production in Tokat province of Turkey. *Renewable Energy.* **32**: 1873-1881.

Esengun, K., Erdal, G., Gunduz, O. and Erdal, H. 2007b. Inputoutput energy analysis in dry apricot production of Turkey. *Energy Convers Manage.* **48:** 592-598.

Jha, G. K., Pal, S. and Singh, A. 2012. Changing Energy-use Pattern and the Demand Projection for Indian Agriculture. *Agricultural Economics Research Review* Vol. 25(No.1) pp. 61-68.

Kuesters, J. and Lammel, J. 1999. Investigations of the energy efficiency of the production of winter wheat and sugar beet in Europe. *European J. Agronomy.* **11:** 35-43.

Mandal, K. G., Saha, K. P., Ghosh, P. K., Hati, K. M., Bandyopadhyay, K. K. 2002. Bioenergy and economic analysis of soybean-based crop production systems in central India. *Biomass Bioenergy*. 23(5): 337-345.

Ozkan, B., Akcaoz, H. and Fert, C. 2004. Energy input–output analysis in Turkish agriculture. *Renew Energy*. **29:** 39-51.

Ozkan, B., Akcaoz, H. and Karadeniz, F. 2004. Energy requirement and economic analysis of citrus production in Turkey. *Energy Convers Manage*. **45**: 1821-1830.

Pimentel, D. 1980. Handbook of Energy Utilization in Agriculture. *CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.* p. 23.

Piringer, G. J. and Steinberg, L. 2006. Reevaluation of Energy Use in Wheat Production in the United States. *J. Industrial Ecology.* **10:** 149-167

Rajakumar, D. and Nagesha, N. 2012. Sustainability through renewable energy: economic and environmental analysis of wind farms in Karnataka. *The Ecoscan* 5(1&2): 39-42, 2011

Rahman, A. and Bhatt, B. P. 2014. Scope of solar energy groundwater pumping in eastern India. *The Ecoscan.* 8(1&2): 121-125, 2014.

Sartori, L., Basso, B., Bertocco, M. and Oliviero, G. 2005. Energy

Use and Economic Evaluation of a three Year Crop Rotation for Conservation and Organic Farming in NE Italy. *Biosystems Engineering* **91(2)**: 245-256.

Shahan, S., Jafari, A., Mobli, H., Rafiee, S. and Karimi, M. 2008. Energy use and economical analysis of wh wheat production in Iran: A case study from Ardabil province. *J. Agricultural Technology.* **4(1)**: 77-88.

Singh, H., Mishra, D. and Nahar, N. M. 2002. Energy use pattern in production agriculture of a typical village in Arid Zone India-Part I. *Energ Convers Manag.* **43(16):** 2275-2286.

Singh, H., Singh, A. K., Kushwaha, H. L. and Singh, A. 2007. Energy consumption pattern of wheat production in India. *Energy.* 32: 1848-1854.

Singh, J. M. 2002. On farm energy use pattern in different cropping systems in Haryana, India. Master of Science. Germany: International Institute of Management, University of Flensburg.

Singh, S. and Mittal, J. P. 1992. Energy in production Agriculture; Mittal Publications, New Delhi

Singh, S., Singh, G., Singh, P. and Singh, N. 2008. Effect of water stress at different stages of grain development on the characteristics of starch and protein of different wheat varieties. *Food Chemistry.* **108(1):** 130-139.

Tsatsarelis, C. A. 1991. Energy requirements for cotton production in central Greece. *J. Agricultural Engineering Research.* **50**: 239-246.

Uhlin, H. 1998. Why energy productivity is increasing: an I-O analysis of Swedish agriculture. *Agric. Syst.* **56(4):** 443-65.

Yamane, T. 1967. Elementary sampling theory. Engle wood Cliffs, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall Inc.

Yilmaz, I. Akcaoz, H. and Ozkan, B. 2005. An analysis of energy use and input costs for cotton production in Turkey. *Renewable Energy*. 30: 145-155.